

# The Persuasive Influence and Efficacy of Public Relations in Mitigating Fear During Crisis Situations

Suyed Gullab Amini <sup>1</sup>, Rishad Ahmad Ahmadi <sup>1\*</sup>, Abdul Latif Ahmadi <sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

This study examines essential communicative standards for message content to reduce public anxiety during global crises, explores integrating Public Relations (PR) into institutional decision-making, and proposes a functional model for PR-led fear mitigation. Using a descriptive-analytical methodology rooted in library-based research and qualitative content analysis, the study synthesises scholarly perspectives with operational data from the European Confederation of Public Relations (CERP) to evaluate PR's evolution from a technical speciality to a strategic driver of institutional value. The findings show that strategic PR plays a critical role in information management, rumour control, and restoring public trust. Specifically, organisations achieve effective crisis containment when they deliver transparent, actionable guidance, which directly reduces collective fear and aligns public opinion with institutional goals. Ultimately, this paper concludes that organisational resilience during multifaceted global crises depends heavily on strategic PR planning, professional spokesperson deployment, and trust-based engagement, offering a practical framework for communication professionals to navigate high-anxiety crisis environments.

**Keywords:** Crisis Management, Public Relations, Fear Mitigation

## Author Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Relations, Faculty of Journalism and Public Relations  
Kandahar University, Kandahar, Afghanistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Public Relations, Faculty of Journalism and Public Relations  
Kandahar University, Kandahar, Afghanistan

<sup>3</sup> Department of Public Relations, Faculty of Journalism and Public Relations  
Kandahar University, Kandahar, Afghanistan

\*Corresponding e-mail: [\\_rishadahmadahmadi200@gmail.com](mailto:_rishadahmadahmadi200@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup>ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7419-4894>



Arghand Journal of Social Sciences (AJSS) © 2025 by Kandahar University is licensed under CC BY 4.0. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Significance and Necessity of the Research

The rapid evolution of communication technology, coupled with the proliferation of diverse social media networks and advertising platforms over the last three decades, has significantly shifted scholarly attention toward the dynamics of crisis-related phenomena. Researchers increasingly focus on methodologies related to stakeholder persuasion, public motivation, and strategically redirecting public perception during periods of instability (Aryanfar, 2012). Within organisational frameworks, the core mandates of Public Relations (PR) include ensuring stakeholder assurance, maintaining audience satisfaction, fostering positive institutional relationships, and executing effective crisis management.

The fundamental philosophy and study of Public Relations are rooted in advocating the public interest, retaining audience support, and demonstrating institutional respect for the public. Scholarly definitions describe PR as a management function that achieves an organisation's strategic objectives by establishing and sustaining mutual understanding, interaction, influence, and trust between the organisation and its stakeholders. It is frequently characterised as both the "science and the art" of building and safeguarding public trust and support. In a PR context, a crisis is a sudden, severe, and

hazardous event that jeopardises the reputation, credibility, trust, or vital relationships of an institution, corporation, government, or individual (Ahmadzai, 2010).

In the contemporary era, society frequently faces significant challenges during crises and disasters. A key factor in shifting societal mindsets and mitigating public anxiety during these turbulent times is strategically shaping public opinion. This is because the core mandates of Public Relations (PR) involve managing the systematic flow of information and responding effectively to the exigencies and expectations of diverse stakeholders.

During a crisis, PR departments generate structured media content on the underlying causes, the extent of the damage, and subsequent mitigation strategies. They carefully curate and share this information at the most opportune moments to ease public apprehension and strategically guide the trajectory of public discourse. Furthermore, PR entities actively identify opportunities for public assurance across the three critical phases: pre-crisis, the acute crisis phase, and post-crisis recovery to maintain control over public sentiment and situational stability (Vardi-Nejad & Bahrami-Rashtiyati, 2019). Professional Public Relations utilises the various dimensions of the public assurance process, leveraging the innovative strategies and expert counsel of communication specialists to ensure institutional resilience.

Research Question: Which persuasive factors can Public Relations employ to mitigate public fear during the process of crisis containment effectively?

Because public opinion faces multifaceted pressures and challenges during a crisis, Public Relations departments must maintain continuous, real-time engagement with the citizenry and remain highly responsive to public exigencies (Ahadzadeh & Emami, 2011).

This article discusses the structural dimensions and identification processes involved in shaping public consciousness and ensuring stakeholder assurance regarding specific societal phenomena, ideologies, or crises. Furthermore, this study explores how frameworks for public mobilisation and cognitive construction are developed, how they are disseminated through mass media channels, and the specific mechanisms through which public support is strategically garnered.

### 1.2 Research Objective

The primary objective of this study is to develop and present a Functional Model for Public Persuasion and Fear Mitigation for use by Public Relations departments during crisis containment. By synthesising established communication theories, analysing effective PR practices, and interpreting the dynamics of international crisis scenarios, this research seeks to provide a strategic framework for shifting societal perspectives and reducing public anxiety. The ultimate goal is to offer an actionable and effective methodology for governmental, private, and corporate organisations to navigate the complexities of crisis management while maintaining public stability.

### 1.3 Significance of the Study

Crises ranging from natural disasters and public health pandemics to security incidents and economic instability frequently induce profound psychological distress, widespread fear, and social fragmentation within populations. Understanding and defining a professional, effective crisis-mitigation strategy gives specialists a framework for innovative operational dimensions. These include regulating public opinion, establishing resilient communication systems, recalibrating public mindsets, achieving strategic organisational objectives, and identifying critical priorities. By equipping Public Relations practitioners with these competencies, organisations can alleviate public anxiety and facilitate a seamless transition from crisis to institutional and social normalcy.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The primary objective of this article is to develop a strategic guide for organisational crisis management, using Public Relations as a vehicle for persuasion and public motivation. In terms of its goal, this is Applied Research intended for practical implementation. Methodologically, it is a Qualitative Descriptive Study based on Documentary (Library) Research, drawing from an extensive selection of authoritative books, peer-reviewed journals, and scholarly periodicals.

To develop a deep understanding of the research problem, the data are analysed through the Interpretive Paradigm (Interpretivism). Interpretivism forms the fundamental basis of qualitative research, particularly in the social and communication sciences. According to this paradigm, reality is not an objective entity observable by the senses; rather, it is socially constructed and subjective. This approach is widely utilised in Education, Social Sciences, Journalism, Public Relations, Sociology, and Health Research (Creswell, 2013).

Grounded in the principles of the Interpretive Paradigm, Public Relations departments must identify the underlying nature of crisis mitigation factors by studying and interpreting public opinion. This allows for informed decision-making regarding the reduction of public anxiety. This article applies Interpretivism to examine the "secret of success" often cited by PR consultants: delivering the right, authentic message to the desired audience at the optimal time through the most appropriate channel. By doing so, the study aims to identify solutions for problem-solving and fact-finding to contain the fear associated with a crisis state effectively.

### 3. Research Background

**3.1 Domestic Research:** Within the domestic academic landscape, Professor Javida Ahmadi of Kabul University authored a Treatise/monograph titled "The Role of Media and Public Relations in Crises." Published in 2020 (1399 SH) by the Hamed Risalat Association, this work utilises a library-based methodology across five chapters. It provides a comprehensive academic overview of crisis identification, management strategies, the intersection of PR and crises, and the legal frameworks governing various crisis types. It serves primarily as a foundational textbook for students in the field. Additionally, Brian Tracy's work, "Crisis Management," translated into Pashto by Hijratullah Ikhtiyari and published in 2011 (1390 SH) by the Yar Momand Publishing Society in Jalalabad, examines crisis management through the lens of personal development and small business resilience, offering strategies for resolving life's professional and personal disruptions.

**3.2 International Research:** Internationally, a study titled "The Role of Digital Public Relations in Crisis Management" was published in the Crisis Management Quarterly (2020/1399 SH) by researchers Mehdi Yarifar and Ahmad Baseri. Using a descriptive methodology and data gathered through questionnaires from PR professionals active in digital spaces, the study found significant shortcomings. The study noted that PR practitioners often fail to utilise innovation and creativity in digital content production. Consequently, target audiences often ignored these messages, and organisational leadership tended to undervalue the strategic importance of digital PR (Ismaili-Pour & Shadman, 2008).

**3.3 The Research Gap:** Despite the contributions of the aforementioned studies, a critical gap remains. Current literature lacks standardised guidelines and operational strategies focused on persuasion, motivation, and producing fear-mitigating content during a crisis. Persuasive PR messaging is vital for establishing an environment of trust, stability, and public calm. Public Relations is not merely a process of information transmission; it is a scientific and strategic instrument designed to manage public beliefs, perceptions, and emotions.

### 4. Public Relations and Crisis: Theoretical Definitions

**4.1 The Philosophy of Public Relations:** The core philosophy of Public Relations (PR) is rooted in the advocacy for stakeholder rights, institutional value, and public respect. Etymologically, "Public Relations" comprises two components: Public, referring to the communal, social, and collective body of people, and Relations, referring to the strategic links established between entities. The primary objective of PR is to create and maintain mutual understanding and effective communication (Dunn, 1999). At its essence, the "product" of Public Relations is the systematic establishment of sustainable dialogue.

**4.2 Defining the Crisis Context** Scholarly definitions clarify that a crisis refers to a significant disruption or a systemic failure that defies human expectation (Ikhlasyar, 2017). These are extraordinary conditions that challenge the stability of a system, institution, or society. In reality, a crisis represents a high-risk event; consequently, organisations strive to resolve minor issues and manageable incidents promptly to restore normalcy before they escalate.

In a crisis state, the overarching goals and sometimes the very survival of organisations or societies are jeopardised. During such periods, the window for decision-making is severely limited, necessitating high levels of organisational experience, professional skill, agility, reliable data, and innovation. Organisations must analyse problems rapidly based on available information to enable swift corrective action.

**4.3 The Intersection of PR and Crisis Management.** In summary, Public Relations is the scientific system for building trust, harmonising relationships, and managing information between an organisation and its publics. Conversely, a crisis is a sudden phenomenon that poses a severe threat to an organisation's reputation, legitimacy, and public confidence (Coombs, 2014). Since a crisis endangers institutional identity and trust, PR practitioners must strategically manage the flow of information shared with the media and the public. Their primary responsibility is to mitigate public fear and safeguard the organisation's identity and brand image (Coombs, 2007b).

## 5. Historical Paradigms of Public Relations in Crisis Mitigation

Globally, the efficacy and strategic role of Public Relations (PR) in crisis management have been fundamental to the field's professionalisation and strengthening. A key historical example occurred in the United States between 1900 and 1914. During this era, as industrial, manufacturing, and commercial sectors reached their developmental zenith, public opposition to industrialists' self-serving interests also rose. Consequently, organisational leaders were compelled to adopt Public Relations strategies to align with and secure public opinion, ultimately achieving institutional stability (Safdari, 2013).

Another illustrative case is found in the American Revolutionary War. During critical phases of the conflict, George Washington utilised strategic consultations with his military officers to maintain troop unity and secure a decisive victory. A key "secret" of his PR approach was deliberately and transparently sharing meeting details, tactical consultations, and contingency measures with the broader community. This proactive communication shifted the war's momentum. In stark contrast, General Cornwallis, the British commander, refrained from sharing information with his subordinates, maintained a centralised command structure, and made unilateral decisions. Despite initially having a superior military force, Cornwallis's autocratic approach and failure to communicate collaboratively led to his eventual defeat (Ghaza, 2014).

Reflecting this recognition of PR's value, several nations established Offices of War Information alongside traditional intelligence committees during World War II. Beyond the United States, countries such as Great Britain, Germany, France, and the Netherlands institutionalised public relations as a core component of their national and military strategies (Safdari, 2013).

## 6. Strategic Frameworks for Crisis Mitigation in Public Relations

Napoleon Bonaparte once remarked: "A government can be seized with bayonets, but to maintain it, one must rely on public opinion." This famous maxim underscores the extraordinary significance of public sentiment. Contemporary research supports Napoleon's assertion, showing that the most effective crisis mitigation strategy involves systematically managing and influencing public opinion through sophisticated Public Relations (PR) tactics. As the primary organisational branch directly engaged with the public, PR is essential for maintaining a continuous dialogue with society during times of instability (Coombs, 2019).

During a crisis, the public often experiences confusion, fear, and an acute "information thirst." Research indicates that disseminating organised, clear, and credible messaging can reduce public anxiety by up to 40%. Furthermore, PR communications rooted in empathy and assurance significantly alleviate psychological distress among the population (Coombs, 2007).

Crisis specialists have found that rumours can be as damaging as the crisis's physical risks. PR departments can reduce the speed of rumour spread by 50% by rapidly disseminating factual information. When an official source becomes visible, misinformation loses credibility. Transparent, real-time updates from Public Relations directly correlate with public trust. Conversely, withholding information fosters suspicion and exacerbates fear. Critically, PR practitioners gather intelligence by

engaging the public and soliciting feedback; this participation is a vital catalyst for effective crisis management (Heath, 2010).

Studies also show that Public Relations can mitigate a crisis's economic fallout. Benoit (1995) demonstrated that effective PR minimises financial loss, restores public confidence, and prevents prolonged reputational damage or "scandal duration." In high-stakes environments, the public seeks more than data; they need actionable guidance on where to go, what to do, and the nature of immediate risks (Liu et al., 2011).

In summary, Public Relations supports crisis management by sharing empathetic, transparent information to reduce fear and by rapidly disseminating facts to counter rumours. Publishing accurate data is paramount to preserving organisational reputation and enhancing trust, while reducing economic losses and providing the public with sound, life-saving guidance.

## 7. Operational Models for Public Relations and Fear Mitigation in Crisis Management

Professional crisis mitigation in Public Relations (PR) begins with an exhaustive situational analysis of crisis variables. This is followed by producing authentic messaging, soliciting public feedback, and strategically disseminating content. The objective is to utilise core persuasive concepts within news bulletins and informative reports to "frame" or minimise the perceived scale of the crisis, thereby inducing public assurance and shifting societal mindsets (Johnson, 2018).

### 7.1 The Two-Stage Persuasion Process:

1. **Stage One (The Assurance Dimension):** PR identifies the necessary dimensions of persuasion to alleviate fear, seeking to achieve a state of public satisfaction and calm.
2. **Stage Two (Behavioural Motivation):** This stage focuses on recalibrating the public's mindset. Through expert consultation and actionable guidance, citizens are motivated to perform specific safety behaviours.

To achieve these objectives, PR departments employ a multi-channel approach, including face-to-face dialogue, television broadcasts, social media engagement, public addresses, and print media (Mubariz, 2011).

**The Crisis Lifecycle Model:** Based on extensive research, the widely accepted Crisis Lifecycle Model serves as a cornerstone of crisis management. This model is divided into three critical phases:

- **Pre-Crisis Phase:** This phase involves continuous risk assessment, the proactive preparation of crisis templates, and the formation of a rapid-response crisis team. Develop strategic protocols and keep them ready for immediate deployment.
- **Acute Crisis (In-Progress) Phase:** This phase requires rigorous coordination with the media. PR must provide rapid, transparent, and precise information to the public, focusing on psychological stabilisation and public tranquillity.
- **Post-Crisis Phase:** Organisations retain significant responsibilities after the event. These include reputation and brand recovery, conducting a "lessons learned" analysis, and revising organisational policies to prevent future occurrences (Fink, 1986).

### 7.2 Practical Application: Flood Risk Management

- **Pre-Crisis:** Issuing early warning alerts and providing the public with information on secure evacuation routes and safety protocols.
- **During Crisis:** Disseminating real-time updates via social media, coordinating rescue efforts, and managing live information flows.
- **Post-Crisis:** Providing aid to affected populations and compiling a comprehensive post-action report to improve future resilience (Houston et al., 2015).

**The SCCT Model by W. Timothy Coombs:** One of the most widely utilised frameworks globally is the model proposed by W. Timothy Coombs. A central tenet of this model is that an organisation's reputation, credibility, and identity are at extreme risk during a crisis. Consequently, Public Relations (PR) must strategically manage the flow of information shared with the media and the public to mitigate fear and safeguard the institutional image (Coombs & Holladay, 2002).

According to Coombs (2007), the organisational response must be strictly aligned with the nature of the crisis:

- **Victim age/Accidental Crises:** PR should emphasise empathy, information sharing, and requests for public support.
- **Preventable Crises (Errors/Negligence):** The organisation must provide immediate clarification, accept responsibility, and implement corrective measures.
- **Transgressions (Corruption/Fraud/Regulatory Breaches):** A positive, corrective response is required, including a formal apology, full acceptance of liability, a commitment to systemic change, and demonstrable action.

**The Application of Agenda-Setting Theory:** To recalibrate public perception and contain fear efficiently—minimising both time and resources—PR practitioners leverage Agenda-Setting Theory. By controlling the arrangement and emphasis of news content, PR can "highlight" and "amplify" specific aspects of a crisis that reduce public anxiety (Kent & Taylor, 2022).

Based on the principles of Agenda-Setting, mass media influence audience perspectives by prioritising and clarifying specific elements of a narrative. In their interactions with the media, Public Relations departments utilise this theory to frame a phenomenon, choosing which parts of the story to emphasise or expand upon to achieve desired public sentiment (Dearing & Rogers, 2002).

**Six Guidelines for PR-Led Crisis Management.** Furthermore, scholarly research identifies six critical operational components for Public Relations in managing a crisis:

1. **Information Coordination:** Ensuring a seamless flow of data between individuals and organisational units.
2. **Service Synchronisation:** Coordinating logistical services and ensuring quality control across response groups.
3. **Empathy and Mitigation:** Actively assisting and empathising with the public to prevent the escalation of the crisis.
4. **Internal Feedback:** Soliciting and utilising suggestions from internal staff and operational units.
5. **Resource Provision:** Delivering essential personnel and information to those directly affected by the crisis.
6. **Process Evaluation:** Conducting rigorous assessment and follow-up on all response procedures (Sonia et al., 2014).

### 7.3 Behavioural Interaction Model for Fear Mitigation in Crises

Research indicates that an information vacuum and inaccurate forecasting can escalate rumours, which in turn intensifies public fear. According to the Behavioural Interaction Model, public response during a crisis is governed by three interconnected dimensions:

1. **Perception:** How do individuals cognitively interpret the crisis?
2. **Affect (Emotions):** Is the public experiencing fear, anger, or cognitive dissonance?
3. **Behaviour:** What is the resulting reaction? Is it flight, information seeking, criticism, or cooperation (Woon & Pang, 2017)?

For instance, if a situation is perceived as imminently life-threatening (e.g., during an earthquake), the immediate behaviour is flight or a search for immediate solutions. Conversely, if the public distrusts the source, they exhibit "information resistance." When fear remains unmanaged, it manifests as social fragmentation and the rapid proliferation of rumours (Bode & Vraga, 2021).

According to the Behavioural Model for Fear Reduction, Public Relations (PR) must focus on the strategic value of persuasive messaging. These messages achieve maximum efficacy only when they integrate the core elements of trust-building: logical reasoning, credibility, transparency, and empathy (Anderson & Heath, 2019). Furthermore, transparency and credibility must be emphasised, as they are fundamental to mitigating public anxiety. Studies show that the perceived credibility of government institutions and media sources directly shapes and influences public behaviour (Chawla et al., 2021).

Based on the interpretation of these factors, the following Operational Framework for Fear Containment is proposed for Public Relations practitioners:

Table 1

*Strategic Framework for Fear Mitigation and Crisis Communication Across Phases*

| Phase                  | Core Strategies                                                                                                                                                          | Fear Mitigation Mechanisms                                                        | Application Example                                     | Scholarly Reference      |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Preliminary Phase   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Urgent, transparent, and concise messaging</li><li>•Validation of public emotions</li><li>•Refutation of misinformation</li></ul> | Eliminating ambiguity and preventing the escalation of reactive public behaviour. | Initial government statement following an earthquake.   | Coombs (2007)            |
| 2. Crisis Assessment   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Clarifying the level of risk</li><li>• Defining the crisis typology</li><li>•Determining the locus of responsibility</li></ul>    | Reducing cognitive dissonance and strengthening public confidence.                | Scientific analysis of an epidemic outbreak.            | Coombs & Holladay (2012) |
| 3. Message Formulation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Informational messaging</li><li>•Emotional and psychological support</li><li>•Reconciliation and formal apologies</li></ul>       | Reduce fear through transparency, empathy, and accountability.                    | Preventive guidelines issued by the Ministry of Health. | Ulmer et al. (2017)      |

| Phase                | Core Strategies                                                                                                                                                  | Fear Mitigation Mechanisms                                                     | Application Example                                           | Scholarly Reference |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 4. Trust Restoration | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Formal apology protocols</li><li>•Compensatory reparations</li><li>• Implementation of corrective measures</li></ul>     | Shifting negative sentiment and reconstructing institutional trust.            | Implementation of new safety standards following an incident. | Coombs (2015)       |
|                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Knowledge synthesis (Lessons learned)</li><li>•Future preparedness planning</li><li>•Policy revision and reform</li></ul> | Preventing the recurrence of future "fear-states" through systemic resilience. | Publication of a comprehensive post-crisis government report. | Seeger (2006)       |

The aforementioned model constitutes an effective operational framework for mitigating fear, social disruption, and negative public sentiment through Public Relations. Its efficacy stems from integrating specialised principles for anxiety reduction, including institutional accountability, transparency, and cultivating public trust.

By employing a scientific management approach, the model dynamically adapts messaging and communication strategies to align with the specific typology of the crisis. Furthermore, it utilises support mechanisms for public perception and emotional stability to pacify the collective consciousness. Finally, the framework provides a systematic methodology and structured guidelines for regulating and containing rumours within social media networks.

8. DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

During crises, Public Relations (PR) plays a key role in building trust between organisations and society while mitigating public fear. Research indicates that PR efficacy largely depends on information transparency, the timeliness of alerts, and the strategic strength of the messaging. When news is shared without delay and public inquiries are addressed clearly during a crisis, fear levels are contained, enabling community members to adopt more appropriate and resilient behaviours.

Public relations' persuasive capacity and credibility clearly influence public attitudes. Individuals who place their trust in official sources experience significantly lower levels of anxiety caused by rumours or misinformation. Furthermore, transparent, effective crisis messaging alleviates psychological distress and helps preserve social stability.

However, disseminating information alone is insufficient to contain fear; the message's quality, institutional credibility, and the audience's cultural characteristics must be carefully considered. For

instance, in societies where mainstream media credibility is low, traditional PR methods—leveraged through social media or local community leaders—are more effective.

Consequently, Public Relations strategies must be bidirectional: first, clarifying facts, and second, managing public emotions. To prevent fear from spreading, communication must be continuous, timely, and reliable. Research shows that when the public perceives information is being withheld because of mismanagement or opaque motives, fear and anxiety intensify, leading to a precipitous decline in trust in the government or organisation.

## 9. CONCLUSION

Public Relations (PR) serves as a vital strategic function, enabling an organisation to maintain situational awareness of its external environment. Professional Public Relations helps organisations adapt to environmental shifts, maintain relative equilibrium, and create avenues for institutional advocacy. This proactive stance allows organisations to capitalise on emerging opportunities and neutralise threats that may impede organisational progress.

The analysis of persuasive influence in crisis management shows that information transparency underpins fear mitigation. Furthermore, message delivery methods and the target audience's cultural specificities are the primary determinants of efficacy in reducing psychological distress and fostering communal trust. The impact of Public Relations extends beyond information dissemination; it directly influences a population's psychological and social well-being. During a crisis, trust-building and fear containment are inextricably linked to the organisation's persuasive capacity and the public's perceived sense of security.

When societies or organisations face existential threats, instability, or pervasive distrust during a crisis, Public Relations specialists serve as critical intermediaries. They can regulate rumours and misinformation through strategic media relations, helping contain public anxiety. By providing lucid guidance and authentic messaging, PR practitioners can effectively reduce public fear by 40% to 60%.

While every crisis creates a fertile environment for secondary crises or the spread of disinformation, professional Public Relations neutralises these rumours through rapid, focused, evidence-based communiqués. Ultimately, Public Relations functions as a mechanism for reputational recovery and a psychological force for stability. In the most critical moments of a crisis, integrating emotional empathy into persuasive messaging is key to success. Information presented solely in technical jargon yields limited impact; however, messaging that incorporates a humanistic perspective and affective support effectively diminishes public apprehension.

Based on these findings, governmental agencies, media outlets, and social institutions should centre robust Public Relations activities as the core of their crisis management strategies.

## References

- [1] Ahadzadeh, A. S., & Emami, H. (2011). *Asr-e Rasaneh-haye Ejtemayi [The Era of Social Media]*. Journal of Social Sciences.
- [2] Ahmadzai, A. J. (2010). *Amma Arika [Public Relations]*. Jalalabad: Danish Publishing Society.
- [3] Anderson, B., & Heath, R. (2019). *Crisis communication and public trust: Strategies for persuasive messaging*. Journal of Public Relations Research, 31(2).
- [4] Aryanfar, S. (2012). *Bohran wa Mudiriyat [Crisis and Management]*. Kabul: Maiwand Publishing House.
- [5] Bode, L., & Vraga, E. K. (2021). *Correcting misinformation on social media: Encounters, responses, and resistance in crisis contexts—Journal of Communication*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqab001>, 71(2).
- [6] Chawla, Y., Radziwon, A., Scaringella, L., et al. (2021). *Predictors and outcomes of individual knowledge on early-stage pandemic: Social media, information credibility, public opinion, and behaviour in a large-scale global study*. Information Processing & Management, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2021.102720>, 58(5).

- [7] Coombs, W. T. (2007a). *Ongoing Crisis Communication: Planning, Managing, and Responding* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [8] Coombs, W. T. (2007b). *Protecting organisation reputations during a crisis: The development and application of situational crisis communication theory*. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 10(3).
- [9] Coombs, W. T. (2014). *Ongoing crisis communication: Planning, managing, and responding* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [10] Coombs, W. T. (2019). *Ongoing Crisis Communication: Planning, Managing, and Responding* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. ISBN 9781544331959.
- [11] Coombs, W. T., & Holladay, S. J. (2002). *Helping crisis managers protect reputational assets: Initial tests of the situational crisis communication theory*. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 16(2).
- [12] Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [13] Dearing, J., & Rogers, E. (2002). *Mudiriyat-e Bohran ba Ruykard-e Amniyati (1) [Crisis Management with a Security Approach (1)]*. Tehran: NAJA Education Department.
- [14] Dunn, Jim. (1999). *Public Relations techniques that work*. London: Hawks Mere.
- [15] Fink, S. (1986). *Crisis management: Planning for the inevitable*. American Management Association.
- [16] Ghaza, G. N. (2014). *Amma Arika [Public Relations]*. Nangarhar: Danish Publishing Society.
- [17] Heath, R. L. (2010). *The SAGE handbook of public relations*. SAGE Publications.
- [18] Houston, J. B., Hawthorne, J., Perreault, M. F., Park, E. H., Goldstein Hode, M., Halliwell, M. R., Turner, McGowen, S. E., Davis, R., Vaid, S., Schneider, J. A., & Griffith, S. A. (2015). *Social media and disasters: a functional framework for social media use in disaster planning, response, and recovery*. *Disasters*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/Disa.12092>, 39(1).
- [19] Ikhlasyar, A. (2017). *De Bohran Mudiriyat [Crisis Management]*. Paktia: Al-Azhar Publishing Society / Suleiman Ghar Cultural Association.
- [20] Ismaili-Pour, S., & Shadman, S. (2008). *Ertebat dar Bohran [Communication in Crisis]*. Tehran: Tarhe Ayandeh.
- [21] Johnson, P. (2018). *Fear management in emergency communication*. *Communication Studies Review*, 12(3).
- [22] Kent, M. L., & Taylor, M. (2022). *Complexifying digital public relations: Research directions and ethical dilemmas*. *Public Relations Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.Link.2022.102258>, 48(5).
- [23] Liu, B. F., Austin, L., & Jim, Y. (2011). *How publics respond to crisis communication strategies: The interplay of information form and source*. *Public Relations Review*, 37(4).
- [24] Mubariz. (2011). *Amma Arika [Public Relations]*. Kabul: Lamar.
- [25] Safdari, M. (2013). *Ravabet-e Omumi dar Sazman [Public Relations in the Organisation]*. Mahmud Safdari Blog, 2.
- [26] Sonia, T., Mildred, G., Scott, S., & Aura Mairia, R. (2014). *Strategic Crisis Management*. Commerce: Texas A & M University.
- [27] Vardi-Nejad, F., & Bahrami-Rashtiyati, S. (2019). *Mudiriyat-e Bohran wa Rasaneh-ha [Crisis Management and the Media]*. Tehran: Ministry of Culture and Islamic Guidance, Printing and Publishing Organisation.
- [28] Woon, E. H., & Pang, A. (2017). *Explicating the information vacuum: Stages, intensifications, and implications for crisis communication*. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, <https://doi.org/10.1108/CCIJ-01-2017-0004>, 22(3).